Multiple Determination in a Balkan Sprachbund Context: Albanian and Balkan Slavic

SLS 14, Potsdam, September 11-13 2019

Catherine Rudin Victor A. Friedman

Wayne State College University of Chicago & La Trobe University

<u>carudin1@wsc.edu</u> <u>vfriedm@uchicago.edu</u>

MULTIPLE DETERMINATION (MD) (aka Double Determination)

More than one indicator of definiteness within DP.

Many types; see e.g. Alexiadou (2014). We concentrate on one:

DEM + DEF (demonstrative + definite article/inflection)

... IN A BALKAN SPRACHBUND CONTEXT

Intensive language contact area in the Balkan peninsula, known for numerous shared grammatical features (as well as lexical borrowing) – affecting:

Balkan Slavic (Bulgarian, Macedonian & Torlak [=southeastern] BCMS)

Balkan Romance (Romanian, Aromanian, Meglenoromanian)

Romani in the Balkans (Vlax & Balkan Romani)

Albanian Balkan Judezmo

Greek West Rumelian Turkish & Gagauz

SYNTACTIC "BALKANISMS" INCLUDE: (among many others....)

- replacement of infinitive (finite verb with modal/subjunctive particle)
- postposed definite article (Albanian, Romance, Slavic)
- Multiple Determination constructions (e.g. Friedman 2006, Joseph 2019)

The convergence of the Balkan languages is striking – but the view of the Balkan Sprachbund as "one grammar with several lexicons" (Kopitar 1829:86) requires nuancing. As demonstrated in Joseph (1983), even core Balkanisms like infinitive replacement show varying manifestations in the different languages. True for MD as well: All Balkan languages have some form of MD, but realization and place in the grammar varies.

The Balkan MD continuum: (Friedman & Rudin 2019)

most pervasive/grammatically integrated > GREEK > ROMANI > BALKAN ROMANCE > ALBANIAN >

MACEDONIAN > BULGARIAN > least pervasive/most pragmatically conditioned

Within this overall trend there are

- varying details of structure & usage in individual languages
- a split into (at least) two distinct types of constructions

The facts in a nutshell:

	MD with initial DEM	MD with AdjDEF / NDEF	MD with postposed DEM	DEM can move?	Affective semantics/	style
		3	1 1		pragmatics	
Greek	obligatory	(both – but				
Romani		note article is				
	optional	not DEF	oblig.	yes	no	
		inflection)				standard
Balkan Romance	no	both				
Albanian	optional	both				
Macedonian	optional	both (limited)		no	yes	Callag amly
Bulgarian	optional	Adj only				Colloq. only

We briefly sketch the top half of the chart before looking in more detail at the bottom three.

GREEK: DEM can postpose; MD obligatory

1. aftos o anthropos

DEM DEF person

- b. anthropos aftos DEF person DEM 'this person'
- *aftos anthropos

with adjective:

2. to puli (afto) to mikro the bird DEM the small 'the/this small bird'

ROMANI (Agia Varvara): DEM can postpose; MD obligatory when postposed, optional otherwise

a. kadava (o) manuš

DEF person DEM

manuš **kadava** DEF person DEM 'this person'

Kosovo Burgudži: MD optional

a. *kada* phuro

DEM old

'this old man' ("normal")

b. kada (o) phuro

DEM DEF old

'this old man' ("possible")

BALKAN ROMANCE: DEM can postpose; MD iff postposed

Romanian Aromanian

5. a. aist om DEM person 6. a. *acel* om DEM person

b. omlu aistu person.DEF DEM 'this person'

b. omul acela person.DEF DEM 'this person'

Definite adjective also possible (multiple DEF inflection):

5. c. omlu aistu bun(lu) person.DEF DEM good.DEF 'this good person'

acela bun(ul) c. omul person.DEF DEM good.DEF 'this good person'

(Note also "strong" vs. "weak" form of DEM *aist(u)/acel(a)*; also constructions like *omul cel bun*, with "adj. article" etc. – there's a lot more to say here!! Cf. e.g. Nicolae 2015)

SUMMARY: All of these (Greek, Romani, Balkan Romance varieties) are characterized by mobile DEM.

Obligatory MD in Greek strongly suggests an appositive construction with DEM as separate DP:

[DP N.DEF] [DP DEM] (Greek, possibly also Romani) [DP DEM] [DP N.DEF]

In Balkan Romance, MD is likewise obligatory but only when DEM is postnominal. Various complications (e.g. DEM adjacent to N) make an appositive analysis less likely. Nicolae suggests N raises to D over DEM: [DP N.DEF [DemP DEM [... t ...]]] (Romanian?)

Pursuing this is beyond scope of this paper – but it is clear Balkan Romance MD as well as that of Greek & Romani is different from the Balkan Slavic & Albanian type ... to which we now turn.

Albanian/Macedonian/Bulgarian -- unlike Greek, Balkan Romance, & Romani:

- •word order is fixed (DEM must be initial)
- article is optional

ALBANIAN

7. **ky** njeri**u** * njeri(**u**) **ky** √ **ky** njeri

DEM person.DEF

'this person'

MACEDONIAN

8. **ovoj** čovek**ov** * čovek(**ov**) **ovoj** ✓ **ovoj** čovek

DEM person.DEF

'this person'

BULGARIAN

9. **tija** bogati**te** * bogati(**te**) **tija** √ **tija** bogati

DEM rich.DEF

'these rich folks'

Conclusion: MD in these languages is a single phrase [DP DEM N.DEF ...] or [DP DEM N.DEF ...].

Rudin (2018, to appear) argues for a structure with demonstrative in DemP or SpecDP...
either will do for our purposes today.

- "Normal DP" word order: <u>DEM N Adj</u> (Alb) or <u>DEM Adj N</u> (Bulg/Mac)
- DEF inflection suffixes to head of projection following the demonstrative

10. djal**i** (Albanian) kv this boy-DEF my b. tova moeto momče (Bulgarian) this my-DEF boy (Macedonian) c. ova moevo momče this my-DEF boy 'this boy of mine'

- Single-phrase intonation [cf. appendix]
- Special semantics

SEMANTICS/PRAGMATICS: Bulg/Mac/Alb MD has a specific function: **necessarily affective** (emphasize speaker's evaluation or involvement; subjective.)

Affective reading is possible with DEM across languages:

11. that boy (the one over there, pointing) = canonical demonstrative that boy! (he's driving me crazy!) = affective demonstrative

In, e.g., Bulgarian, affective reading is possible with any DEM but required in MD construction. This is predicted as a result of combined semantics of DEF and DEM within DP (Šimík 2016, Rudin to appear).

12. a. tozi naš prijatel =canonical <u>or</u> affective

DEM our friend

'that friend of ours'

b. tozi našija prijatel =affective only

DEM our.DEF friend

'that friend of ours!'

The affectivity of MD often manifests as deprecation, negative affect, but can also be positive.

MACEDONIAN examples from the "Bombi" (*Vistinata za Makedonija* 'The Truth About Macedonia' = Prizma 2015) – discussed in detail in Friedman 2019, Friedman&Rudin 2019. Almost all pejorative (14) but can express pure personal involvement:

- 14. Zamisli ušte mi nema čestitano *toj idiotot*, čoveče. think still me NEG.have congratulated that idiot.DEF person.VOC 'Just think, that idiot still hasn't congratulated me, man!' (MR, 17.7)
- 15. **Ovoj** našiov čovek e tuka. this our.DEF person is here 'Our guy is [the one who is] here.' (NTT, 22a.2)

Note: Macedonian DEM and DEF have 3-way proximity distinction.

-v- proximal / -t- neutral / -n- distal Proximal is most frequent in MD

- •Of 101 MD constructions in (most of) the Bombi, only 3 are distal:
 - 16. I go barav i *ona kopileno* Artan Grubi, drugar ti. and him sought.1SG and that bastard.DF.DS A. G. friend your 'And I also tried to get hold of that bastard, your friend Artan Grubi.' (ZS, 22b.14)
- •27 (less than 30%) are neutral:
 - 17. Upis kje napraime kaj šo se *tie našite profesori* registration FUT do.1PL.PRS where that are those our.DF.NL professors 'We'll do the [university] registration where our professors are [in control].' (NTT, 22a.2)
- More than 2/3 are proximal: (prox. = personal evaluation/involvement)
 - 18. A slušaj, *ovie našive polupismenive* što gledaat denes mu and listen these our.DEF.PX semiliterates.DEF.PX that watch.3PL today him.DAT se javile na Martin.

 ITR called.PL to M.

 'Well, listen, those semiliterates of ours who are watching [the news] today apparently

(Note: two definite suffixes in (18) – unique example in the Bombi, most consultants do not accept, though K. Čašule from Ohrid has a similar example.)

BULGARIAN (examples from social media) positive or negative affect, like Macedonian:

19. **Tazi** nejnata banica e straxotna! that her.DEF banica is awesome 'That banica of hers is awesome.'

called Martin.' (DPL, 24.11)

20. Offf, *toja* nov*ija* ajfon ne e ništo osobeno. oof this new.DEF iPhone NEG is nothing special 'Oof, this new iPhone isn't anything special.'

ALBANIAN MD generally seems to have affective function as well; a nuance of emphasis or emotion (Turano & Rrokaj 2000, Rexhina Ndoci p.c.; data from Buchholtz & Fiedler)

- 21. Po kritikova këtë mësuesën të fshatit, s'e në mes mos if NEG.him criticize.1SG DEM teacher.DEF.ACC in middle PC village.GEN.DEF don't thëncin Rremë Osmani. me.ACC call.OPT.2PL R. O. 'If I don't criticize that teacher in front of the whole village, then my name isn't R. O.'
- 22. **Ky** dervishi duhet të ketë ndonjë hall. this dervish.DEF must DMS have.3SG.SBJV some trouble 'This dervish must have some kind of problem.'

The three languages (Mac/Bulg/Alb) are thus very similar. However, there exist differences among them as well.

DIFFERENCE 1: basic word order

We've already seen ((10) repeated here) that word order difference in Albanian vs Slavic results in article on NOUN in Albanian but usually ADJECTIVE (prenominal modifier, including possessive) in Bulg/Mac.

10. (Albanian) a. *kv* djal**i** this boy-DEF my **NOUN** b. tova moeto momče (Bulgarian) this my-DEF boy ADJ c. ova moe**vo** momče (Macedonian) my-DEF boy this **ADJ** 'this boy of mine'

DIFFERENCE 2: definite noun and/or modifier?

In fact, there are further differences in whether N or Adj can be articled, beyond those induced by word order.

Albanian has the freest distribution of definite article suffix with demonstrative: can occur on N and also adjective (MD with multiple articles)

23. **ky** djal**i** im i zgjuar OR **ky** djal**i** im i zgjuari this boy my PC clever this boy my PC clever.DEF 'this clever boy of mine' (where the adjective is optionally marked for definiteness)

Macedonian allows N.DEF as well as Adj.DEF

– but generally not both at once.

24. **ovie** naši**ve** profesori **ovie** profesori**ve**

*/??ovie našive profesorive

Bulgarian allows ONLY Adj.DEF

-- never N.DEF

25. **tezi** naši**te** profesori ***tezi** profesori**te**

*tezi našite profesorite

'These professors of ours'

DIFFERENCE 3: register

Balkan Slavic MD is colloquial

Albanian MD is standard, not marked as colloquial

Albanian thus has the widest occurrence of MD of the 3 languages, both grammatically and contextually.

AN AREAL CONCLUSION:

Both the unity and diversity of the non-appositive, affective MD construction shared by Balkan Slavic and Albanian have a clear areal basis:

<u>UNITY</u>: it occupies **a continuous territory** across the Balkans, while Romanian to the north and Greek to the south (as well as other Balkan Romance varieties and Romani) have different types of MD constructions.

<u>DIVERSITY</u>: **Macedonian is geographically and grammatically intermediate;** shares some features with Albanian (DEM N-DEF), some with Bulgarian (position of adjective), and is intermediate in terms of context of use as well. Macedonian dialects near Albania may be most like Albanian.

Greek, Romani, Balkan Romance	Albanian, Macedonian, Bulgarian		
Syntactically conditioned: obligatory either in	Pragmatically conditioned: grammatically optional		
general (Greek) or when Dem is postposed.	but used for a specific pragmatic effect (affective).		
Required by appositive structure or by processes like			
raising of N to D.			

Works Cited:

Alexiadou, Artemis. 2014. Multiple Determiners and the Structure of DPs. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Buchholz, Oda and Wilfried Fiedler. 1987. Albanische grammatik. Leipzig: Verlag Enzyklopädie.

Friedman, Victor A. 2006. The Balkans as a Linguistic Area. *Elsevier Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, Vol. 1, ed.-in-Chief Keith Brown. Elsevier. 2006. 657-672.

Friedman, Victor A. 2019. Double Determination in Colloquial Macedonian: Evidence from the 2015 *Bombi. And Thus You Are Everywhere Honored: Studies Dedicated to Brian D. Joseph*, ed. by James J. Pennington, Victor A. Friedman, Lenore Grenoble. Bloomington, IN: Slavica. 109-124

Friedman, Victor A. and Catherine Rudin. 2019. Double Determination in Balkan Slavic and Albanian: Typology & Areality. 12th Congress of South-East European Studies, Bucharest, 2-6 Sept.

Joseph, Brian D. 1983. The Synchrony and Diachrony of the Balkan Infinitive: A Study in Areal, General, and Historical Linguistics. Cambridge University Press.

Joseph, Brian D. 2019. Multiple determination in Greek and the Balkans. Balkanistica 32.1: 171-184.

Kopitar, J. 1829. Albanische, walachische und bulgarische Sprache. Jahrbücher der Literatur 46: 59-106.

Nicolae, Alexandru. 2015. On the syntactic specialization of Romanian demonstratives and the grammaticalization of the article *cel. Revue roumaine de linguisticque*, LX, 1: 47-70.

Prizma. 2015. Kompleten materijal od site bombi što gi objavi opozicijata. http://prizma.mk/kompleten-materijal-od-site-bombi-na-opozitsijata/ (last accessed 6/6/2018)

Rudin, Catherine. 2018. Multiple Determination in Bulgarian and Macedonian: An exploration of structure, usage, and meaning. In S. M. Dickey and M. R. Lauersdorf, eds. *V zeleni drželi zeleni breg: Studies in Honor of Marc L. Greenberg.* Bloomington, IN: Slavica Publishers, 2018, 263–286.

Rudin, Catherine. In press. On DP structure in Balkan Slavic: Evidence from Multiple Determination. In Vera Gribanova, Sabrina Grimberg, Erika Peterson O Farrill, Eva Portelance, and Brandon Walsh, eds. Proceedings of Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 27: The Stanford Meeting 2018.

Šimík, Radek. 2016. On pragmatic demonstratives: The case of pragmatic discourse anaphora in Czech. In Nadine Bade, Polina Berezovskaya & Anthea Schöller (eds.), *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 20*, 640–657. http://semanticsarchive.net/sub2015/SeparateArticles/Simik-SuB20.pdf.

Additional sources:

Boretzky, Norbert. 1993. *Bugurdži: Deskriptiver und historischer Abriß eines Romani-dialekts.* Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. Friedman, Victor A. 2006a The Balkans as a Linguistic Area. *Elsevier Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, Vol. 1, ed. by Keith Brown, 657–672. Oxford: Elsevier,

Friedman, Victor A. and Brian D. Joseph. To appear 2019. *The Balkan Languages*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press Igla, Birgit. 1996. *Das Romani von Ajia Varvara: deskriptive und historisch-vergleichende Darstellung eines Zigeunerdialekts*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Joseph, Brian D. 2019. Multiple determination in Greek and the Balkans. *The Current State of Balkan Linguistics: Celebrating 20 Years of the Naylor Lectures*, ed. by Donald L. Dyer, Brian D. Joseph, and Mary-Allen Johnson = *Balkanistika* 32:1.171-184.

Koneski, Blaže. 1954. Gramatika na makedonskiot literaturen jazik II: Za formite i nivnata upotreba. Skopje: Prosvetno Selo.

Markovikj, Marjan. 2007. Aromanskiot i makedonskiot govor od ohridsko-struškiot region (vo balkanski kontekst) Skopje: MANU.

Minova-Gjurkova, Liljana. 1994. Sintaksa na makedonskiot standarden jazik. Skopje: Rading.

Nicolae, Alexandru. 2013. Demonstratives. *The Grammar of Romanian*, ed. by Gabriela Pana Dindelegan, 294–300. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ugrinova-Skalovska, Rada. 1960/61. Dve stilski osobenosti na našiot govoren jazik. Makedonski jazik 11/12: 105-110.

*** APPENDIX ***

Appositive constructions do occur in Alb/Mac/Bulg. FOR INSTANCE:

BULGARIAN

```
tozi, profesora / profesora, tozi
DEM professor.DEF professor.DEF DEM

'that guy, the professor' / 'the professor, that guy'
```

These resemble other Balkan languages but differ from the MD construction of interest in Alb/Mac/Bulg, in several ways:

- WORD ORDER VARIABLE
- REOUIRE COMMA INTONATION TRUE MD HAS NO INTONATION BREAK
- DIFFERENT SYNTACTIC DETAILS, E.G. BULGARIAN CAN HAVE DEF NOUN
- INTERPRETATION IS CLARIFYING OR HESITATION, RATHER THAN AFFECTIVE